I am writing on behalf of David Davies to respond to your information request:

A. Maintained Secondary Schools

1. What was the total number of formal permanent exclusions from maintained secondary schools in your area in each of the academic years 2012-13, 2013-14, and 2014-15? Please also state the total number of maintained schools and pupil population to which each annual total relates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2012-13</th>
<th>2013-14</th>
<th>2014-15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of maintained</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>secondary schools (incl.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>middle deemed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>secondary) As at</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring CENSUS date</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintained secondary</td>
<td>4926</td>
<td>4828</td>
<td>4508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>schools population (incl.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>middle deemed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>secondary) As at</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring CENSUS date</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total PEX from RBWM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maintained schools</td>
<td>3 (inc WBS and WGS now Academy) + 1 Free School</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. For each academic year: Of those pupils permanently excluded from maintained secondary schools in your area (Q1), in relation to how many pupils did their parents apply for review by an independent review panel (IRP)?

None

3. For each academic year: Of those pupils' formal permanent exclusions reviewed by IRPs (Q2), how many reviews were determined in favour of the pupil?

N/A

4. For each academic year: Of those reviews determined in favour of the pupil (Q3), in relation to how pupils many did the IRP direct reconsideration by the governors?

N/A

5. For each academic year: Of those reviews determined in favour of the pupil in relation to which the IRP directed reconsideration by the governors (Q4), in relation to how many pupils did the IRP order that the school’s budget should be readjusted by a £4,000 payment (in addition to funding that would usually follow the pupil) towards the costs of finding alternative education for that pupil, should the excluding school either

   (a) uphold the exclusion despite that direction, and/or
   (b) fail to reconsider the exclusion within the time limit specified in the regulations?
Please specify your answer for (a) and (b) in relation to each pupil, so that it is clear whether, for a particular pupil, the IRP ordered (a) only, (b) only, or both (a) and (b).

N/A

6. For each academic year: Of those pupils' reviews in relation to which the IRP ordered that, should the school uphold the exclusion despite the direction to the governors to reconsider and/or fail to reconsider the exclusion within the time limit specified in the regulations, the school's budget should be readjusted by a £4,000 payment (Q5), in relation to how many pupils did the £4,000 readjustment become due, and was it because the excluding school either

(a) upheld the exclusion despite the direction to reconsider, and/or
(b) failed to reconsider the exclusion within the time limit specified in the regulations?

Please specify your answer for (a) and (b) in relation to each pupil, so that it is clear whether, for a particular pupil, the readjustment became due because of reason (a) only, (b) only, or both (a) and (b).

N/A

7. For each academic year: Of those pupils' reviews in relation to which the £4,000 readjustment became due (Q6), in relation to how many pupils did you, the local authority (LA), readjust the excluding school's budget by £4,000 as a result of the excluding school either

(a) upholding the exclusion despite the direction to reconsider, and/or
(b) failing to reconsider the exclusion within the time limit specified in the regulations?

Please specify your answer for (a) and (b) in relation to each pupil, so that it is clear whether, for a particular pupil, your readjustment of the excluding school's budget was attributable to reason (a) only, (b) only, or both (a) and (b).

N/A

8. For each academic year: Of those readjustments of £4,000 you, the LA, made to schools' budgets (Q7), in relation to how many pupils did you pass on the £4,000 to that pupil's new education provider?

For each pupil in relation to whom you passed on the £4,000 readjustment, please specify the nature of the new education provider and whether the pupil had been found a place at that new provider before or after the excluding school decided to uphold its decision to exclude.

N/A

B. Academies (Secondary Schools)

1. What was the total number of formal permanent exclusions that Academies (secondary level) in your area reported to you in each of the academic years 2012-13, 2013-14, and 2014-15? Please also state the total number of secondary school Academies and pupil population to which each annual total relates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of secondary academies (incl.free schools)</th>
<th>2012-13</th>
<th>2013-14</th>
<th>2014-15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As at Spring CENSUS date

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Secondary academy population As at Spring CENSUS date</th>
<th>5322</th>
<th>5289</th>
<th>5597</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total PEX reported to RBWM</td>
<td>9 (inc 1 overturned) 1 RBWM resident in non LA Academy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total number of PEX from Academies reported to RBWM:
2014-5: 8

2. For each academic year: Of those pupils, whom Academies (secondary level) reported to have permanently excluded in your area (Q1), in relation to how many pupils did their parents apply for review by an independent review panel (IRP)?

NONE

3. For each academic year: Of those pupils’ formal permanent exclusions reviewed by IRPs (Q2), how many reviews were determined in favour of the pupil?

N/A

4. For each academic year: Of those reviews determined in favour of the pupil (Q3), in relation to how many pupils did the IRP direct reconsideration by the Academy proprietor?

N/A

5. For each academic year: Of those reviews determined in favour of the pupil in relation to which the IRP directed reconsideration by the Academy proprietor (Q4), in relation to how many pupils did the IRP order that the Academy proprietor should make a £4,000 payment (in addition to funding that would usually follow the pupil) to you, the LA, towards the costs of finding alternative education for that pupil, should the excluding Academy proprietor either
   (a) uphold the exclusion despite that direction, and/or
   (b) fail to reconsider the exclusion within the time limit specified in the regulations?

Please specify your answer for (a) and (b) in relation to each pupil, so that it is clear whether, for a particular pupil, the IRP ordered (a) only, (b) only, or both (a) and (b).

N/A

6. For each academic year: Of those pupils’ reviews in relation to which the IRP ordered that, should the school uphold the exclusion despite the direction to the Academy proprietor to reconsider and/or fail to reconsider the exclusion within the time limit specified in the regulations, the Academy proprietor should make a £4,000 payment to you, the LA (Q5), in relation to how many
pupils did the £4,000 payment become due, and was it because the excluding Academy proprietor either
(a) upheld the exclusion despite the direction to reconsider, and/or
(b) failed to reconsider the exclusion within the time limit specified in the regulations?
Please specify your answer for (a) and (b) in relation to each pupil, so that it is clear whether, for a particular pupil, the payment became due because of reason (a) only, (b) only, or both (a) and (b).
N/A

7. For each academic year: Of those pupils’ reviews in relation to which the £4,000 payment to you, the LA, became due (Q6), in relation to how many pupils did you, the LA, receive the £4,000 payment from the Academy proprietor as a result of the excluding Academy proprietor either
(a) upholding the exclusion despite the direction to reconsider, and/or
(b) failing to reconsider the exclusion within the time limit specified in the regulations?
Please specify your answer for (a) and (b) in relation to each pupil, so that it is clear whether, for a particular pupil, the Academy proprietor made the payment to you because of reason (a) only, (b) only, or both (a) and (b).
N/A

8. For each academic year: Of those pupils’ reviews in relation to which the £4,000 payment to you, the LA, became due (Q6), in relation to how many pupils did you, the LA, take steps against the Academy proprietor to enforce the £4,000 payment, and had the payment become due because the excluding Academy proprietor either
(a) upholding the exclusion despite the direction to reconsider, and/or
(b) failing to reconsider the exclusion within the time limit specified in the regulations?
Please specify your answer for (a) and (b) in relation to each pupil, so that it is clear whether, for a particular pupil, the non-payment you took steps to enforce had become due because of reason (a) only, (b) only, or both (a) and (b).
N/A

9. For each academic year: Of those pupils’ reviews in relation to which the £4,000 payment to you, the LA, became due (Q6), in relation to how many pupils did you, the LA, report non-payment to the Education Funding Agency, and had the payment become due because the excluding Academy proprietor either
(a) upheld the exclusion despite the direction to reconsider, and/or
(b) failed to reconsider the exclusion within the time limit specified in the regulations?
Please specify your answer for (a) and (b) in relation to each pupil, so that it is clear whether, for a particular pupil, the reported non-payment had become due because of reason (a) only, (b) only, or both (a) and (b).
N/A

10. For each academic year: Of those payments of £4,000 you, the LA, received from Academy proprietors (Q7), in relation to how many pupils did you pass on the £4,000 to that pupil’s new education provider?

For each pupil in relation to whom you passed on the £4,000 payment, please specify the nature of the new education provider and whether the pupil
had been found a place at that new provider before or after the excluding Academy proprietor decided to uphold its decision to exclude.

N/A

If you are unhappy with the information we have provided in response to your request please write to:

Information Management Team Manager
Royal Borough of Windsor & Maidenhead
Town Hall, St Ives Road
Maidenhead
SL6 1RF

or send an e-mail to martin.tubbs@rbwm.gov.uk

We are proud to be one of the leading authorities in England for consistently responding to information requests within the 20 working days set down by statute. Information about our performance and summaries of requests received can be found on our website:

http://www.rbwm.gov.uk/web/foi_information_requests.htm

We are keen to hear about your experience with the Information Management Team here at the Royal Borough of Windsor & Maidenhead and look forward to receiving any comments you have about the way your information request was processed.

Please send any feedback to the Information Management Team Manager either by e-mail martin.tubbs@rbwm.gov.uk or in writing to the address above.

Yours sincerely

David Davies
Information Management Officer
Legal Department
Corporate Directorate
Royal Borough of Windsor & Maidenhead
Town Hall, St.Ives Road
Maidenhead SL6 1RF

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Information Management Feedback Form
FOI67911

1) How would you rate our performance in relation to processing your request:
   Excellent   Good   Fair   Poor

   If you have answered Fair or Poor please suggest how we can improve:
2) Did you receive the response to your request within the advised timescale? Yes/No

3) Did the response meet your needs? Yes/No
   If No, why was that?

4) Prior to submitting your request did you search RBWM's website? Yes/No
   If Yes, why did you then need to submit a request (Highlight all that apply)?
   - Information required not found
   - Information found out of date
   - Information not in required format
   - Information insufficient to meet need
   - Other please specify:

   If No, why was that?

5) Please add any further comments that would help us improve our service:
Thank you for taking the time to complete this form –
your feedback is appreciated.