I am writing in response to your Information request:

**ER66269**

I would be grateful if you would provide the following information in relation to Mill Lane, Sunninghill and Ascot;

- Dates of all safety inspections undertaken on the carriageway in the two years preceding the 10th March 2014

**Response:** see attached report

- Details of all carriageway defects identified during safety inspections in the two years preceding the 10th March 2014

**Response:** see attached report

- Details of how carriageway safety inspections are undertaken, including whether walked or driven, the speed of the inspection vehicle and the number of persons in the vehicle.

**Response:** Driven inspections are carried out by two people in a car which is driven within the speed limit of the road in this case vehicle speed would be 20mph to 25mph.

- The intended frequency of carriageway safety inspections.

**Response:** Quarterly

- Details of all complaints and/or enquiries relating to the carriageway, received in the two years preceding the 10th March 2014

**Response:** see attached report

- The hierarchy classification.

**Response:** 3b Secondary Distributor

- The road/section number.

**Response:** C9610/05

- The defect intervention criteria adopted in relation to the identification of all categories of carriageway potholes

**Response:** The level of risk that a defect poses in each situation is different. In order to keep to a minimum the amount of onsite assessment made by the Inspectors we have an existing level of risk assessment applied by using defined intervention levels which differ depending on the classification of the footway or carriageway concerned. This is because the risk of a road user
coming into contact with a defect rises with the rising level of use of the highway in question.

- The time period(s) adopted between identification and repair (temporary and permanent) of all categories of carriageway defects.

  **Response:** Potholes which could constitute a serious hazard to users of the highway to be made safe within 3 hours of being notified, followed by a full repair as part of a works programme with the priority to be determined in relation to the nature of the defect.

- Whether or not the authority has formally adopted all or part of the standards contained within the national code of practice for highways maintenance management.

  **Response:** Approved and adopted policies and procedures in respect to the Council’s legal requirements and its service provision are contained within the Council’s Highway Maintenance Management Plan (HMMP). This document reflects the guidance laid down in the national Code of Practice ‘Well maintained Highways’ and was adopted by the Council in December 2011.

If you are unhappy with the information we have provided in response to your request please write to:

Information Management Team Manager  
Royal Borough of Windsor & Maidenhead  
Town Hall, St Ives Road  
Maidenhead  
SL6 1RF

or send an e-mail to martin.tubbs@rbwm.gov.uk

We are proud to be one of the leading authorities in England for consistently responding to information requests within the 20 working days set down by statute. Information about our performance and summaries of requests received can be found on our website:

[http://www.rbwm.gov.uk/web/foi_information_requests.htm](http://www.rbwm.gov.uk/web/foi_information_requests.htm)

We are keen to hear about your experience with the Information Management Team here at the Royal Borough of Windsor & Maidenhead and look forward to receiving any comments you have about the way your information request was processed.

Please send any feedback to the Information Management Team Manager either by e-mail martin.tubbs@rbwm.gov.uk or in writing to the address above.

Yours sincerely
Ben Savage
Information Management Officer
Legal Department
Corporate Directorate
Royal Borough of Windsor & Maidenhead
Town Hall, St.Ives Road
Maidenhead SL6 1RF